

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/021,285	12/19/2001	Donald H. Willis	PU010241	8904
7590 10/19/2006			EXAMINER	
THOMSON multimedia Licensing Inc.			NGUYEN, HUY THANH	
Patent Operations				
Two Independence Way			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 5312			2621	
Princeton, NJ 08543-5312			DATE MAILED: 10/19/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office A - 41 O	10/021,285	WILLIS, DONALD H.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	HUY T. NGUYEN	2621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 Ju	ulv 2006				
	action is non-final.				
,	, <del>_</del>				
closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims	,				
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti	= · ·	• •			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	(4)			
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the prior</li></ol>	ity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)	_				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
2)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 10/021,285

Art Unit: 2621

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-8,10-19 and 21 -22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagata (5974224).

Regarding claims 1,12 and 16, Nagata discloses a system (Fig. 1) and a method for producing a trick mode playback of a segment of video containing a plurality of predictively encoded pictures (Figs. 2,4,5) comprises:

a memory (6,91-93) for storing information and a video processor (3,4,5,6,81); decoding a portion of a predictive picture (212) from the plurality of predictive pictures (column 6, lines 40-64); and,

updating a portion of information stored in a memory (214) with the portion of the predictive picture (column 9, lines 25-68).

Regarding clams 2 and 13, Nagata further teaches the step
of repeating steps (a) and (b) during the trick mode playback such that a portion of
each of a predetermined number of subsequent predictive pictures are decoded and

Application/Control Number: 10/021,285

Art Unit: 2621

used to update a subsequent portion of the information stored in the memory (column 9, lines 25 to column 10, line 55).

Regarding claims 3,4 an 14-15, Nagata further teaches that each subsequent predictive picture has been recorded after the predictive picture (Fig. 2,4).

Regarding claims 5,6 and 17, Nagata further teaches updating (214) a portion of the information stored in the memory (116) exclusively with the portion of the predictive picture (column 9, lines 35-65) and the portion of the predictive picture that is decoded has a substantially direct correspondence to the portion of the information in the memory that is being updated.

Regarding claims 7 and 18, Nagata further teaches the segment of video is an MPEG video segment that does not contain any intra pictures and each of the plurality of predictive pictures contains intra macroblocks (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 8 and 19, Nagata further teaches that the portion of the predictive picture is comprised of intra macrobloc (Fig. 2).

Regarding claims 10,11 and 21-22, Nagata further teaches that the information stored in the memory (116) is a picture and that the picture stored in the memory is initially a properly decoded picture (Fig. 5).

Applicant argues that Nagata does not teaches decoding a portion of the picture. In response the examiner disagrees. It is noted that a picture comprises a plurality of portions. When a picture is decoded, a portion of the picture also is decoded. Since the decoded picture as taught by Nagata comprising a plurality of portions, Nagata teaches decoding a portion of a picture.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,285 Page 4

Art Unit: 2621

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

4. Claims 9 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagata in view of Tanabe (6876812).

Regarding claims 9 and 20, Nagata fails to specifically teach that a playback speed of the fast motion trick mode in a forward direction is greater than 3X.

Tanabe teaches a method for playing the encoded video signal at a speed that is grater than 3X (column 5, lines 1-30).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Nagata with Tanabe providing the method of Nagata with a step for playing the encode video data with a speed greater than 3X thereby reducing the time for searching a

desired portion of the video data for viewing.

#### Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 31 July 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

#### Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to HUY T. NGUYEN whose telephone number is (571) 272-7378. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30AM -6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, James Groody can be reached on (571) 272-7950. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

H.N